

## **OP-ED/GUEST COLUMN**

## 'Honest Bob' Stanfield was a class act all the way

Robert Stanfield refused to engage in the kind of media bashing so popular today



By ALLAN BONNER

Robert Stanfield's grimacing face has been staring down

at me from my wall for about 25 years. He looks as if he's in pain as he grabs his own hands instead of the football that appears not only to have slipped through his fingers, but may have also hit him in the groin.

Media folklore has it that this helped Stanfield to lose his third election and deny Canada 'the best Prime Minister we never had.' Media bashers say a hostile press, captivated by Pierre Trudeau's suave athleticism, deliberately picked an unflattering shot to portray the Conservative leader as awkward. Pump a few drinks into a reporter of that era and s/he'll blame the staffer who threw the ball at the candidate.

But if you dig deep enough, the media come out looking pretty good on this issue. I wanted to get to the bottom of whether the media were malicious or a staffer was incompetent, so I went down to the offices of The Canadian Press vears ago and within minutes had in my hands all the negatives from the entire roll of film shot that day in North Bay, Ont., during the 1974 election campaign. Assuming normal camera settings, I was looking

at over a dozen moments, lasting his hand-written reply. about one-sixtieth of a second, frotaken five or 10 minutes and only a present but I have not the back yet another distortion.

the tarmac that day. In fact, in the flexibility." same frame as the fumbling piceaten a pickle, and he's holding the tions to complex problems. ball as if it were a wet diaper.

pretty representative shot to print.

proposals were of the kind only an Nova Scotia as "Honest Bob." angry young grad student could come dream up. Mr. Stanfield was gracious, indulgent and modest in

"I am not very competent to zen in time for decades. The entire comment on your suggestions. I event on the runway may have see some of the things wrong at dozen or so pictures were taken. ground to suggest what should be These two facts alone constitute a done." After this self-effacing start, kind of media distortion. The fact he began offering an economical that only one picture was picked and thoughtful reaction to my verfor the front pages of newspapers is sion of what was wrong with the media. His most important point However, after studying the en- was that "it is sometimes hard to tire roll and comparing each picture get a campaign in focus early with the fumbling one that made enough if journalists report anthe newspapers, I became con-swers to their own questions rather vinced that the picture was very than what the politician wants to representative of what happened on emphasize. There ought to be some

Mr. Stanfield ended by saying, "I ture, I have the best shot of the day. think that, while your suggestions Mr. Stanfield is catching the ball in are useful, we haven't really got the mid stride. But his eyes are closed, answers yet." What a gentlemanly his face grimacing, as if he'd just way of reacting to my certain solu-

Even after having an uneasy rela-So, on balance, of all the pictures tionship with television and media available, the photo editors chose a performing, Mr. Stanfield refused to engage in the kind of media Also in the same frame as these bashing that people who comment two pictures, is a handwritten note on the fumbling football photo do. from Mr. Stanfield. While doing He was a gentleman, endured the research on the media at York Uni- adamant opinions of a young acaversity in the early 1980s, I wrote demic well, and remained circumto him with proposals to clean up spect on his own dealings with the media coverage of politics. These press. No wonder he was known in

The Hill Times